

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/470,452 12/22/1999 JOHN G. POSA POS-01102/29 6162 7590 05/28/2003 JOHN G POSA ESQ **EXAMINER** GIFFORD KRASS GROH SPRINKLE VO, HAI ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI PC 280 N OLD WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 400 ART UNIT BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009 PAPER NUMBER 1771 DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | | AS |
|---|--|--|----------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | " |
| Advisory Action | 09/470,452 | POSA ET AL. | |
| | Examin r | Art Unit | |
| | Hai Vo | 1771 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | appears on the cov r sh et | with the correspondence address | |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of AEX Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11 | I to avoid abandonment of er: (1) a timely filed amend Appeal (with appeal fee); o | this application. A proper reply to a fine twice the application in | ed |
| PERIOD FOR | REPLY [check either a) | or b)] | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing $\underline{6}$ | - | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of th event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The period of the peri | ater than SIX MONTHS from the m WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MON The date on which the petition unde | ailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP r 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension f | 9 9 |
| 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shown is the control of the shown is checked. Any reply received by the Office later than threarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | rtened statutory period for reply original | ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for | rth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appel 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37) | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter | ed because: | | |
| (a) M they raise new issues that would require to | further consideration and/o | r search (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see N | ote below); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the applications. they are not deemed to place the applications. | tion in better form for appe | al by materially reducing or simplifying | , the |
| (d) they present additional claims without ca | inceling a corresponding n | umber of finally rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following i | rejection(s): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | ould be allowable if submi | ted in a separate, timely filed amendm | ent |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ reque application in condition for allowance because | st for reconsideration has l e: <u>of the same reasons listed</u> | peen considered but does NOT place t above. | he |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | d because it is not directed | SOLELY to issues which were newly | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendr explanation of how the new or amended claim | ment(s) a)⊠ will not be en ns would be rejected is pro | tered or b) will be entered and an vided below or appended. | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ows: | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 9-19. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-8. | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on | _ is a)□ approved or b)[| disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State | ement(s)(PTO-1449) Pape | er No(s) | |
| 0. Other: | • | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Patent and Trademark Office | | | |

Continuation She t (PTO-303) 09/470,452 🛰

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations of the light of the second wavelength makes edge more visible than if the fluorescent material were absent from the backing material raise the issues that would require further consideration and search.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700